

BIBLICAL GENETICS

During my lifetime I have owned many dogs; some mongrels, some purebreds. Dogs of pure breeding can be registered with a national Kennel Club, as long as their pedigree can be verified. Most people don't care about a written pedigree for their dog, they just want a good pet. But to those who breed dogs, or enter them in the show ring, the pedigree is of prime importance. The monetary value placed on a purebred dog, and its progeny, is based upon the animal's bloodline.

The Bible is replete with pedigrees. However, when the passages outlining who begat who are reached, the tendency is to skip over them. After all, they are rather boring, aren't they? Well, I don't believe any part of holy Scripture is filler. So why all the "A" begat "B", and "B" begat "C" throughout the Book?

If you survey your local Bible bookstore you'll find shelves of word-studies, topical studies, epistle and apostle studies; books about love, personal relationships, marriage, raising children, financial management; even books telling you how to save the world. But you'll not find so much as a pamphlet about genetics as revealed in Scripture. Why would this be? Perhaps because it's a boring subject? Or maybe no one ever thought to write about it. There may even be a darker reason.

As I have studied this hidden treasure, and shared with others who have done likewise, I have come to see genetics as one of the prime reasons the Bible was given to us. That's a rather brave assertion, but I would not say it lightly. My intention in these pages is to outline what I have found buried in the holy pages, and take it to a logical conclusion. At least, it satisfies my logic... see what you think of it.

Before I tackle the subject per sé, let me explain why I used the words "hidden" and "buried". You see, contrary to what your local corner church has told you, the Bible is NOT for everyone. How can I venture out that far on a limb? Let's see what our Messiah says about this...

"Who have ears to hear, let him hear. And the disciples came and said to Him, Why speakest Thou to them in parables (like riddles)? He answered and said unto them, BECAUSE IT IS GIVEN UNTO YOU TO KNOW THE MYSTERIES OF THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN, BUT TO THEM IT IS NOT GIVEN. For whosoever hath (ears to hear), to him (understanding) shall be given, and he shall have more abundance (of understanding); but whosoever hath not (ears to hear), from him shall be taken away even that (tiny bit of understanding) he hath. Therefore I speak unto them in parables: because they seeing (with their physical eyes) see not (with spiritual eyes), neither do they understand. And in them is fulfilled the prophecy of Isaiah, which saith, By hearing ye shall hear, and not

understand; and seeing ye shall see, and shall not perceive: For this people's heart is waxed gross (become fat and lazy), and their ears are dull of hearing, and their eyes they have closed (to scarey truth); lest at any time they should see with their eyes, and hear with their ears, and should be converted, and I should (be obliged to) heal them."
(Matthew 13:9-15 K.J.V.)

How does this jive with what your Pastor teaches? The Messiah, our Saviour, tells His disciples that He purposely speaks to certain people in parables (riddles) expressly so they WON'T understand the truths He speaks. You'll probably need to read this in your own Bible, to see if I'm pulling a fast one on you. How can the "come one, come all" message of churchianity let this come to light? It can't. Now add these Scriptures...

"Because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. And for this cause GOD SHALL SEND THEM (notice, not satan, but God) STRONG DELUSION, that they should believe a lie."
(II Thessalonians 2:10,11 K.J.V.)

"My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge (perception of truth): because thou hast rejected knowledge, I WILL ALSO REJECT THEE..."
(Hosea 4:6 K.J.V.)

I present these sobering (and most shocking, if you're a victim of traditional mainstream teaching) Scriptures here as a kind warning. What you will read in this paper will not agree with the centrepiece of evangelical doctrine. But if it is truth, and you reject it out of hand, rather than "proving" the matter, you place yourself at risk. Now, to the study...

"A" begat "B", and "B" begat "C"... who cares? After all, if God made everyone on the planet, and for the same purpose, what difference does it make who their forefathers are? Well, let's see.

Here's a little mind-primer. Put on your thinking-cap and consider this... You are probably familiar with the "Garden of Eden" story. It goes like this - God made Adam and Eve and placed them in a beautiful garden. They begat two boys, Cain and Abel. This was the first family on earth, only these four people. One day, Cain became jealous of his brother Abel, and killed him. Because of this, God banished Cain from the garden, and His presence. Right so far? Okay; where did Cain go when he left Eden?...

"And Cain went out from the presence of the Lord, and dwelt in the land of Nod, east of Eden."
(Genesis 4:16 K.J.V.)

Pretty clear; Cain travelled eastward, and settled in Nod. Researchers

agree that Nod is the area now called China. And after Cain settled there, what did he do? The very next verse tells us...

"And Cain knew his wife..."
(Genesis 4:17 K.J.V.)

Cain took a wife. This Scripture tells us that he mated with her. Well, if there were only Adam, Eve, Cain, and the late Abel, where on earth did Cain's wife come from? And, was she all alone in Nod? The Bible actually discloses where she (and the other Nodites) came from... if you still have your thinking-cap on...

"And ON THE SEVENTH DAY God ended His work
which He had made; and He rested..."
(Genesis 2:2 K.J.V.)

This is the account of the creation of the earth in our Bible. It is presented in sections... "days". After six of these days, we are told that the Creator rested on "the seventh day", right? Right! After He rested, we are told that He looked down upon the earth, and...

"... and there was not a man to till the ground..."
(Genesis 2:5 K.J.V.)

No man to till the ground; after day seven. So what did God do?...

"And the Lord FORMED MAN OF THE DUST OF THE GROUND,
and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life;
and man became a living soul."
(Genesis 2:7 K.J.V.)

This was Adam. I have taken no liberties here at all. Look at the chronology of the Scriptures I quoted: Genesis 2:2, then 2:5, then 2:7. Check it out in your own Bible. Sure enough, Adam was made, one man only, AFTER God rested on day seven... right? RIGHT! Let's call this "day eight". So we're all agreed so far? Well what do we do about this?...

"And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness... So God created man in His own image, in the image of God created He him; male and female created He them... AND THE EVENING AND THE MORNING WERE THE SIXTH DAY."
(Genesis 1:26,27,31 K.J.V.)

This is most clearly day SIX, and before God rested. Did He make Adam before He rested on day seven; or on day eight, after He rested? Our Bible appears to say both, doesn't it? Now, think about this. The creation story is one of the biggies in Sunday-school and church. I've heard it countless times, and so have you, if you're a church-goer. Have you ever heard this obvious snag addressed? And it gets even snaggier in the original Hebrew text.

Referring to the eight-day making of Adam it reads...

"And YHWH formed THE MAN out of the dust
from the ground..."

(Genesis 2:7 Hebrew Bible)

But back at day six it reads...

"And THE ELOHIM created MANKIND in THEIR image...
MALES (plural) and FEMALES (plural) THEY created."

(Genesis 1:27 Hebrew Bible)

So we have more differences than merely the "day" of these two makings when we look at what was originally written. On day six, the "Elohim" did the creating. This word (Strong's #430) means "mighty ones", "mighty angels", or "mighty judges". And if we look at the roots of the word used in Hebrew for "created", it means "to dispatch", implying that it was accomplished by "speaking" into being. Further, the created ones were a whole population: "mankind"... "males and females" (plural), all at once.

But look at day eight... "YHWH" was the Maker here. This is the sacred tetragrammaton, the personal name of the Almighty (another study). And what He made was "the man", one man only, no woman yet. And instead of Adam being spoken into being, he was hand-formed from the dust of the soil. In Hebrew, interestingly, the word for this dust is "adamah". And the most significant difference between these two makings is the fact that when YahWeH formed Adam, He then breathed into his nostrils His own breath, His own essence, His own eternal life. The Hebrew text even says that "Adam received eternal life", whereas the English translation of this reads "and man became a living soul". Big difference! The population created on day six was not breathed into by the mighty ones who created them. They used the creative power of Almighty YahWeH to create them, but they did not, and could not breathe Him into them. (more about the significance of this later).

And THIS is where Cain's wife came from. The inhabitants of Nod were part of the sixth-day creation on planet earth. And those whom Cain "begat" by his wife from Nod factor hugely into our life today.

The name "Adam" is most revealing. It's the Hebrew word "adam" (Strong's #120), and it takes two forms. When it was used in Genesis 1:27 to describe the males and females of day six, the form was "mankind". But when it was used in Genesis 2:7 to name "the man", the form means "to show blood in the face". What's that all about? It means that Adam was a "blusher"... he had the ability to blush, or show blood in his facial complexion. So what? Is this important to know? Very! I learned, years ago, from the director of the Canadian School of Embalming that only white-skinned people can blush. In fact, embalmers have to adjust the colour of the chemicals injected into cadavers to counteract blushing during the embalming process, but ONLY in Caucasian cadavers. No other bodies blush. Blushing, or turning red in the face, (or showing your blood), is actually an identifier. It is first a

bloodline flag; but it is also a sin-recognition flag. What do I mean by that? Well, today, because sin is not on many minds, we call blushing an embarrassment sign. And it is. It is an involuntary recognition of shame. We see it as the shame which comes from immodesty, or making an obvious mistake. But it was intended to be an inner sin flag. If a white-skinned son of Adam's bloodline did something contrary to God's command, he would turn red... sort of like a physical warning of a spiritual problem. So why is it that only the bloodline of the eighth-day man do this? The Bible tells us that only those for whom the Law was given, can break that law; and that sin is the breaking of the Law of God. If those created on day six were created for a different purpose than Adam's purpose, even though there are the natural laws which govern the earth, they might not be subject to the Law of God in the way that Adam was. I know this is a quantum leap, early in the game; but read on. The point is, blushing is a very important, and genetically significant characteristic.

Adam was all alone in Eden. The English translation says he was put there to "till the ground"; but the Hebrew says he was there "to keep order in the garden". Then one day...

"And the Lord caused a deep sleep to fall on Adam,
and He took one of his ribs... and the rib... made
He a woman, and brought her unto the man."
(Genesis 2:21,22 K.J.V.)

This was Eve. Adam had a helper, a wife. Eve was "the weaker vessel", and someone knew it. The infamous "apple" thing happened, and all hell broke loose. At least that's the way we were told it happened... Eve ate a piece of fruit from a forbidden tree; the next thing you know, the whole world was upside-down. For such a world-changing event, I think we should take a really careful look at it. We might learn something that is not only surprising, but vital to our spiritual well-being. Let's go to the Book...

"And the Lord God took the man, and put him into the
garden of Eden to dress it. And the Lord God commanded
the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou
mayest freely eat: but of the tree of the knowledge
of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the
day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die."
(Genesis 2:15-17 K.J.V.)

"Now the serpent was more subtle than any beast of the
field which the Lord God had made. And he said unto
the woman, Yea, hath God said, Ye shall not eat of
every tree of the garden? And the woman said unto the
serpent, We may eat of the fruit of the trees of the
garden: but of the tree which is in the midst of the
garden, God hath said, Ye shall not eat of it, neither
shall ye touch it, lest ye die. And the serpent said
to the woman, Ye shall not surely die. For God doth

is the Hebrew word "iy lan" (Strong's #363 from #352). Check this out... it means "a strong man, specifically a chief", and "a mighty one". Well there was a mighty one in the garden of Eden; a fallen chief. His name was Lucifer, in Heaven; and it was changed to satan after his expulsion from the presence of the Almighty. In the English Bible he's referred to as "the serpent", but the Hebrew is "nachash" (Strong's #5175 from #5172). It does not mean a snake, but "the charmer", or "the enchanter". And there is another thing about this "tree"... at that time, the only one in the garden who had "the knowledge of good and evil" was satan. As Lucifer he had first-hand knowledge of good - he was in constant contact with YaHWeH Almighty. As satan he had prime knowledge of evil.

Our "apple" is changing shape. Let's continue. After Eve had intercourse with the charmer, God asked her what she had done. She replied...

"And the woman said, The serpent BEGUILLED me,
and I did eat."
(Genesis 3:13 K.J.V.)

The word "beguiled" is the Hebrew "nasha" (Strong's #5377). It means "to seduce wholly". The enchanter wholly seduced Eve, and she lay with him. So how does the fact that "fruit" here means "to bring forth progeny" fit our changing picture? Consider what God said to Eve, after she admitted her fling with the tree...

"And unto the woman He said, I will greatly multiply
thy sorrow and (will multiply) thy conception..."
(Genesis 3:16 K.J.V.)

The word "conception" here is most telling in the Hebrew. It's "herown" (Strong's #2032), and means "to BE pregnant". The charmer got her pregnant. Need further proof?...

"And the Lord said unto the serpent, Because thou hast
done this (impregnated Eve)... I will put enmity
(hostility) between thee and the woman, AND BETWEEN
THY SEED and her seed..."
(Genesis 3:14,15 K.J.V.)

Look at this Scripture carefully. The word "seed" here is the Hebrew "zera" (Strong's #2234). It means "begotten offspring". And the original word is in the Hebrew case which is both present AND future. This is really important. In the future, the seed of the woman would be our Messiah. There would be enormous enmity between Him and the devil. But in the context present, there would be immediate enmity between the offspring of the charmer, and the offspring of YaHWeH Himself, as you will soon see.

I need to address a common misunderstanding. Churchianity teaches that mating between a human being and a heavenly being is impossible. The notion of the "serpent", the enchanter having intercourse with Eve would be laughable. And

know that in the day ye eat thereof then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil. And when the woman saw that the tree was good for food, and that it was pleasant to the eyes, and a tree to be desired to make one wise, she took of the fruit thereof, and did eat, and gave also to her husband with her; and he did eat."
(Genesis 3:1-6 K.J.V.)

A serpent, a fruit tree, Eve, Adam, and disobedience. It seems pretty straightforward... Eve disobeyed God, then Adam did, and their punishment has changed life on earth thereafter. Why do we need to look any deeper than this? For years I have believed something about the Book God wrote to us. My belief has had me maligned by many Pastors, and even kicked-out of more than one church. Here's what I believe. If I wrote a letter to you in English; a letter with vitally important directions and information; but you only read Swedish; and if you hired someone to translate my letter into Swedish, but that person had a vested interest in deceiving you, wouldn't you have a big problem? And what could you do to see if what he translated was accurate? What if there was a book which contained every single word I wrote in English, and traced its etymology, and described its meaning nuance in each of the cases where I used the word... wouldn't you do your best to acquire that book, and discover precisely what I wrote to you? Well, there is such a book available to you; along with many other books pinpointing what an English-trained mind needs to know about the complexity of Hebrew, Greek, and Aramaic; the original languages in which the Old and New Testaments were written. Yes, it takes time to do a one word at a time study of a verse of Scripture. But what you will learn about the difference between what it says in English, and what was really written in Hebrew, or Greek, will change your life. I know people who follow a plan to read through the entire Bible in a year. I know some who have read it through a dozen or more times. But they are blind to what was really written. They have read words, but have missed the meaning. I call this a waste of time... no apology whatsoever. It would be far better to spend a year studying a single subject in its original text, and have a revelation of a mystery.

I had better put my money where my mouth is. Let's see what Hebrew words, and their meanings, the translators put into English words. And let's see what kind of a job they did of it.

Eve "did eat" of "the fruit" of "the tree" of "the knowledge of good and evil"; then she gave it to Adam and he "did eat". From these English words we definitely have a pretty clear picture in our minds. What picture do we get when we look at the original Hebrew words? First, the words "did eat". In Hebrew this is "akal" (Strong's #398). It has only one meaning... "to lay with, sexually". Interesting. Next, "the fruit". This is the Hebrew word "prij" (Strong's #6529 from #6509). It means "to bring forth progeny". Even more interesting; especially if "to eat" means sexual intercourse. Now, "the tree". How could Eve lay with a tree? She can in Hebrew. This

the Scripture (one only) upon which they hang that belief is...

"For in the resurrection they (those in Heaven)
neither marry, nor are given in marriage, BUT
ARE AS THE ANGELS OF GOD IN HEAVEN."
(Matthew 22:30 K.J.V.)

This is an extremely weak hook to hang anything on. Notice two things: first, which angels are being referred to? The angels "of God", "in Heaven". These are the two-thirds of the angelic realm who remained loyal to YahWeH and did not rebel with Lucifer. They remained in their first state, in Heaven. But one third of the angels joined the Luciferian rebellion, and were expelled from Heaven. These are the angels of satan now. What about them? Secondly, this Scripture does not say anything about inability to mate, it merely says the Heavenly angels don't marry. But the most surprising thing about the claim of inter-being mating being impossible is this crystal clear Scripture...

"And it came to pass, when men began to multiply
on the face of the earth, and daughters were born
unto them, that THE SONS OF GOD (see note) saw the
daughters of men that they were fair, AND THEY TOOK
WIVES OF ALL WHICH THEY CHOSE... AND THEY BEAR
CHILDREN UNTO THEM, and the same became mighty
men (see note)."
(Genesis 6:1,2,4 K.J.V.)

Note the "see note"s. "The sons of God" and "mighty men" are two English translations of the very same Hebrew word. We've seen this word earlier... "elohiyim" (Strong's #430). It means "mighty ones". These are Heavenly beings of high rank. The population created on the sixth day were created by such beings. We read here that some of these did indeed take human wives, and mated with them, and produced offspring. But the offspring were not like humans, but as their fathers. This class of beings is known as the Nephilim, and were giants. Goliath was one such being. So don't be sceptical of the ability of the chief fallen mighty one to impregnate Eve. It is precisely what he did.

What about Adam? Eve "gave also to her husband, and he did eat". Did Adam have a sexual experience with the charmer? No. Yet "the fruit" he partook of was indeed sexual intercourse. But it was with Eve, and for the first time. How can I back-up this claim? Let's study a bit. Part of the curse which YahWeH put on Eve was...

"And (from now on) thy DESIRE shall be to
thy husband..."
(Genesis 3:16 K.J.V.)

The word "desire" is hugely watered-down in English. It's the Hebrew word "tshuwqah" (Strong's #8669 from #7783). It's intensity is clearly seen...

"to chase after with longing lust". Eve was cursed with an insatiable lust for more of what she tasted from the serpent for the first time. Only now her target would be Adam. I won't get into this here, but I believe this whole matter of sex is not what YahWeH had in mind originally. I believe He had another plan for procreation of the eighth-day man. Regardless, Eve's "desire" was a curse, not the plan. And I believe that Adam's choice to give in to her craving was one of the most significant acts ever. This was, on the one hand, Adam's "sin"; but it was, on the other hand, how he became a type of the Christ. Consider this. Eve didn't know a thing about sex. She "was deceived" the Bible tells us. She saw something she wanted, and was "beguiled", seduced, deceived into thinking it was okay. But the Bible says that Adam sinned knowingly, not deceived (see I Timothy 2:14 for proof). Adam remembered the warning from the Almighty that Eve would "die" because she ate this forbidden fruit. I don't think he saw this as physical death; it had never happened. He wouldn't know what it was. He saw Eve's punishment, I believe, as banishment from the garden. She would have to go from God's presence, and from his presence. She'd be all alone in a bad place forever. I also believe that his decision was not a snap one, He probably talked with his Father about it, and its implications. He probably knew that if he knowingly gave in to her, and be banished as well, that the Father would one day send a rescuer, the Messiah... but ONLY if he "sinned". Eve didn't knowingly sin. It would take Adam's on-purpose sin to necessitate a rescue. If Adam had stayed in the garden, he'd have lived forever in sinless bliss, and he knew it. But his love for his wife was so deep that he made the conscious decision to satisfy her lust, and be sent away with her, to look after her, and provide future rescue, but at great cost. His sin was partaking of a defiled and lost woman.

Our Saviour did the same, though not through sin. He could have stayed in Heaven, in perfection and bliss; but His children would be lost forever. So He chose to suffer as a human, poverty, ridicule, shame, and finally, unbelievable torture and painful death, just because He loved His children so much, and this was the ONLY hope for their rescue. Adam typified the coming Messiah.

We can learn something very important about the modus operandi of our enemy from this event. I used to think that satan does what he does to destroy the sons of Adam, just to hurt God. It would give him pleasure to "make God cry" if you will. But I don't believe that's it at all. Satan knew full-well that his end was not a good one... the lake of fire, forever. He doesn't really give a hoot about you or me, other than as a possible means to a better end for himself. How could we, or Eve, be that for him? He was desperate to get his seed, an offspring, into the earth. That's why he impregnated Eve, rather than just kill her. I believe his long-range plan for the sons of Adam, is to pop one into that family of his own, and be able to qualify for their promised end, on a technicality. And this long-range plan had to begin with sowing his seed among the privileged wheat. And who was satan's offspring?...

"Cain, WHO WAS OF THAT WICKED ONE..."
(I John 3:12 K.J.V.)

The word "of" in the original Greek text can take many forms. Here it means "coming through"... "Cain, COMING THROUGH the evil one, satan". Well, if Cain came through satan, the serpent, what do we make of this Scripture?...

"And Adam knew his wife, and she conceived
and bore Cain..."
(Genesis 4:1,2 K.J.V.)

This is at total odds with what I have posited, isn't it? So who are you going to believe, me, or the Bible? THE BIBLE! Good, so would I. The only problem is, "the Bible" doesn't say what the King James translators wrote in English. Let's face it. Satan CANNOT have the truth about his plan leak out. There's no way he would allow his Catholic translators to translate "the Bible" accurately with this one. "The Bible", the Old Testament of truth, in its original language reads like this...

"And the man knew his wife. (note the period)
(new sentence) And she BEING PREGNANT (already -
the word 'conceived' is not there) bore Cain
(now watch this), and she said, I have a begotten
man-child from YHWH, and she continued to deliver
his brother, Abel..."
(Genesis 4:1,2 Hebrew Bible)

Wow! See why you need to always look at the original text? What does this Scripture mean? Yes, Adam gave in to Eve's lust. But she was already pregnant ("and she being pregnant"). And look at what she said... "I have a begotten a man-child from YahWeH". Why would she say that? Eve knew nothing about sex, or making babies. There'd never been one made yet. She did not know that what the charmer did to her would make a baby. But just like He did with the virgin Mary, YahWeH did something within Eve, that she, like Mary, knew would produce an offspring. So she thought that the baby which just came forth was this baby, from what YahWeH did. She didn't know there were two inside her. The phrase "continued to deliver" was the Hebrew for "multiple birth". Abel was born in the same delivery as Cain. These were "fraternal twins", meaning two ova fertilized by two fathers, sharing no genetics. It is known that women can be impregnated by two men, even a few weeks apart, yet deliver twins at the same time successfully. Eve had been fertilized by the Holy Spirit of YahWeH, AND by the devil; but she was only aware of one act as producing a child. That's why she declared that it was "from YahWeH". Could it be that the original plan was to have all births in the garden come through immaculate conception?

Cain was "of that evil one"; Abel was "a man-child from YahWeH". Neither were sired by Adam. And this is why every genealogy in the Bible states that Seth is Adam's firstborn. Neither Cain nor Abel are mentioned... they weren't Adam's. Enoch is called "the seventh from Adam". If either of these twins were Adam's, Enoch would be the eighth. But the most convincing proof of Abel's parentage, for me at least, is this Scripture, which must

have a second glance...

"THE LAMB (of God), SLAIN FROM THE FOUNDATION
OF THE WORLD."
(Revelation 13:8 K.J.V.)

This Scripture is taught to be reverse prophecy. What's that? Saying that the Lamb was as good as slain before God even made the world... His preparedness for what He knew would one day be needed. But it's not that. In the original Greek text it's "being slain when the earth was established (or 'populated')". Well, who was the first direct offspring of YahWeH slain as the earth was first populated?... ABEL! He was the first physical incarnation of Almighty YahWeH, and was slain by the first physical incarnation of the devil.

If this is true, why wasn't his shed blood sufficient to pay the price for sin? I believe there are two reasons: one - Abel's death was not voluntary. His life was taken; he didn't lay it down by his own choice. This is a crucial ingredient for sufficiency of sacrifice. And two - the womb in which he was developed was a defiled womb; and by the devil himself. Our Saviour needed a virgin womb. So satan's plan "A" worked... defile the womb carrying the Lamb of God; then take His life before He could lay it down. Thankfully, his plan "B" failed... kill the fit Lamb of God in his infancy. Our Messiah escaped King Herod's killing of all male Israelite infants.

For the serious sceptic, I offer another proof that Cain and Abel were born in a twin delivery. YahWeH required that all Israelite boys were to bring Him a sacrifice on their thirteenth birthday. Cain and Abel brought their sacrifice to Him on the same day, the Bible tells us. Why? They were both born on the same day... pretty simple. Is it not interesting that Abel's accepted sacrifice was a "blood" sacrifice; while Cain's rejected one was a "fruit" sacrifice? Think about it. This whole battle, from day-one, was all about bloodline... genetics.

There are two seed-lines sharing planet earth; and this vital truth is pictured in what is arguably the most important parable in the entire Bible... "the wheat and the tares". We will take a close look at it shortly. Most professing "Christians" are completely ignorant of the existence of the tares, let alone the fact that they live cheek to jowl with them today. YahWeH-shua, the Messiah, was well aware of them around Him, and well aware of their genetics too. He called them out at every opportunity. Here's one revelatory example, from which we can learn vital facts...

"If God were your Father, ye would love Me: Why do ye not understand My speech? Because YE CANNOT HEAR MY WORD. YE ARE OF YOUR FATHER THE DEVIL..."
(John 8:42-44 K.J.V.)

Speaking to the descendants of Cain, He reveals genetic predispositions of the "tares", and therefore, as opposite, the "wheat". The children of

God love Him ("If God were your Father ye would love Me"). It's in their genes. Let's enlarge this. There are thousands who are of YahWeH's family, true Israelites, who do not FEEL any love for their Father. Many would claim to be atheists. Many don't believe a word of the Bible. So how does this square with what the Messiah said? To "see" this, we need to be aware that we are dual-natured beings. We ARE spirit beings, but we live in a flesh being, our body. The Bible says that our flesh-man is at war with our spirit-man. Your flesh-man may spend most of its life angry with God. But, your spirit-man will have a love for the Father, and His truths will strike a chord of recognition and agreement within your spirit, perhaps oblivious to your flesh. Your carnal mind and your spirit heart may not communicate for most of your life; but YahWeH is the Father of your spirit, NOT your flesh. He says, by implication, that if the devil is your father, you will have a genetic predisposition to hate God; not just in your flesh, but deep in your heart. Another characteristic of the devil's offspring is that they CANNOT hear, or understand, His Word. The truths contained in the Bible can never be understood by the tares. Doesn't matter whether it's in English, Hebrew, or Cantonese. Their mind and heart are genetically blind to it. Again, it's not by choice, not by deeds, but by genetics...

"A good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, NEITHER
CAN A CORRUPT TREE BRING FORTH GOOD FRUIT."
(Matthew 7:18 K.J.V.)

"Ye are of your father the devil, AND THE LUSTS OF
YOUR FATHER YE WILL DO (no choice)."
(John 8:44 K.J.V.)

But remember, lots of evil fruit (spiritually) acts kind, humble, even noble in their flesh walk. Don't be fooled. And lots of good fruit has a hard and prickly personality. But YahWeH sees every heart, and know everyone's father.

Further to the fact that the devil's offspring are with us until the final harvest, a good question may arise. If the tares are from the lineage of Cain, how did they survive the great flood of Noah's day? After all, only Noah, his wife, his sons, and their wives were on the ark, right? Well actually, Noah was told to bring a breeding pair of "every living thing of ALL flesh" into the ark "to keep them alive with thee" (Genesis 6:19). But there's another reason. The Bible contains many statements (in the English translation) which don't really say what they appear to say. Here's an example that will make clear what I'm saying. Prior to the birth of our Saviour as a flesh baby, Caesar sent out a decree "to tax THE WHOLE WORLD" (Luke 2:1). Well, was "the whole world" taxed by Caesar Augustus? Did he tax the natives of South America, or the inhabitants of China, or Siberia? Of course not; he didn't even know some of them existed. So who did he tax? Those of HIS WORLD, the Roman Empire. The same thing holds true for the flood story, believe it or not. In every case where the English reads "the face of the earth", or "the whole earth" in this account, the word in the original Hebrew text was "erets" (Strong's #776). It means "country",

"region", "wilderness", or "land"; and is defined in the Hebrew dictionary as a bounded area within a greater area of land. It was not the entire face of the planet. So choose whichever of these two reasons you like. The fact is, the Cainite line made it through the flood.

And why was Noah chosen by YahWeH to be the one to continue His genetic line through the flood?...

"But Noah found grace in the eyes of the Lord...
Noah was a just man, PERFECT IN HIS GENERATIONS..."
(Genesis 6:8,9 K.J.V.)

What does that mean... "perfect in his generations"? The word "perfect" is the Hebrew word "tamiym" (Strong's #8549). It means "undefiled", and "without blemish". And the word "generations" is the Hebrew word "towldah" (Strong's #8435 from #3205). It means "pedigree", or "bloodline". Noah found grace in God's eyes; he qualified as a genetic carrier, because he "was undefiled in his bloodline". He was a seed bearer, and a Covenant bearer...

"But with thee (Noah) WILL I ESTABLISH (see note)
MY COVENANT; and thou shalt come into the ark..."
(Genesis 6:18 K.J.V.)

This is not the place to examine the big subject of Covenant; the agreement binding YahWeH and His children. I will simply say that the blood Covenant is the instrument which the Almighty chose to authenticate our status as "blood relative". This Covenant precludes all counterfeits. For the Covenant to hold today (it is a chain) there can be no broken or missing links. This highlights a flaw in the King James translation. This is the "note" you are to "see". The translators penned "establish" in English ("will I 'establish' My covenant"). That makes it sound like this was the first one the Covenant included... WRONG! The Hebrew word in the original text, which was so badly translated was "quwm" (Strong's #6965). It does NOT mean establish; it means "continue". The original text reads...

"And I will continue My covenant with you (Noah)..."
(Genesis 6:18 Hebrew Bible)

Covenant, this blood Covenant between YahWeH and His children, is eternal. That means "no end" and "no beginning". This eternal Covenant was seen in Adam, the first evidence of the eternal contract. And it was continued through the flood by Noah.

With YahWeH's first offspring, Abel, being murdered before he produced any children of his own, how is the YahWeH bloodline continued? Adam, not born of a woman, was the hand-made son of YahWeH; the perfect progenitor of His seed. It wasn't until he was 130 years of age that he produced a son...

"And Adam lived an hundred and thirty years,

AND BEGAT A SON IN HIS OWN LIKENESS, AFTER HIS IMAGE; and called his name Seth."
(Genesis 5:3 K.J.V.)

All Biblical genealogies list Seth as Adam's firstborn. Seth's name is significant. It's the Hebrew "sheth" (Strong's #8352), meaning "substitute". He was the purebred substitute for Abel...

"And she (Eve) bare a son, and called his name Seth: for God, she said, HATH APPOINTED ME ANOTHER SEED INSTEAD OF ABEL, whom Cain slew."
(Genesis 4:25 K.J.V.)

Eve knew Seth's purpose, what YahWeH had sent him for. In time, Seth had his own son, in his likeness...

"And so Seth, to him also was born a son: and he called his name Enos; then men began to call upon the name of the Lord."
(Genesis 4:26 K.J.V.)

Once Enos was on the scene, men began to seek YahWeH. Enos must have been a teacher of the mysteries of Heaven. His name in Hebrew, "enowsh" (Strong's #583) traces back several steps to a root word which means "human". Makes one wonder what the children of Cain were. After all, serpents are reptilian, not human... just a thought.

And these "Cainite" children of the devil are all around us today, but most are not aware of their presence. The Messiah spoke a parable upon which I base this assertion. This parable is actually the core truth of our journey through this foreign place. I will quote it first from the Greek text; and then, with what we've been learning in mind, I'll rewrite it in my own version. Here it is in the original text...

"He put forth another parable, saying, The kingdom of heaven is compared to a man sowing seed in his fields. But while the men were sleeping, his enemy came and sowed tares in the midst of the wheat, and went away. And when the blade sprouted and produced fruit, then the tares also appeared. And coming near, the slaves of the housemaster said to him, Sir, did we not sow good seed in your field? Then, from where does it have the tares? And he said to them, A man, an enemy did this. And the slaves said to him, Do you desire, then, that going out we should gather them? But he said, No, lest gathering the tares you should uproot the wheat with them. ALLOW BOTH TO GROW TOGETHER UNTIL THE HARVEST. And in the time of the harvest I will say to the reapers, First gather the tares, and bind them into bundles to burn them; but gather the wheat into my granary."
(Matthew 13:24-30 K.J.V.)

And now for my version...

"He told another parable. The kingdom of Heaven can be pictured like this. Almighty YahWeH sowed His own good seed in His field. But while the seed was germinating, His enemy the devil crept in and injected his own corrupt seed in the field; then went away and hid. And when YahWeH's offspring grew and multiplied, there among them were the corrupt offspring of the devil. The angels approached YahWeH and asked, Master, didn't we sow Your seed in the field? How did the evil ones get here? And YahWeH said, the devil, through his son Cain did this. They asked, do you want us to go down there and destroy them? No, He replied. I want my children to grow strong, and they have not matured yet. Let them both grow together, so My children will become refined and purified. Then, in the final harvest I'll instruct My reapers to first bind the evil ones, and cast them into the lake of fire. Then they will bring all My children into My Kingdom, fit to share eternity with Me."

(Matthew 13:24-30 my version)

This is what our world reality is. If we are the children of YahWeH, we are wheat, forced to grow among tares. Now why would a loving Father allow His children to exist without freedom from exposure to choking weeds? Wouldn't our daily life be a whole lot easier and more comfortable if we lived in a bubble of protection? In our society, where number one ("ME") sees instant gratification, and freedom from anything unpleasant as a fundamental human right, the answer to these questions will be hard to take. We are here on planet earth to be refined and polished; made fit, eventually, to enter the dimension of "perfect"... where our Father dwells.

As backwards as this will sound, the fact is, we have been granted two essential privileges by our loving Father...

"For unto you (each of His children) it is given ('granted') in the behalf of Christ, not only to believe on him, BUT ALSO TO SUFFER FOR HIS SAKE."
(Philippians 1:29 K.J.V.)

The Bible tells us that we are as gold, and here to be purified. And gold is purified in fire. I tire of the T.V. guys who tell us that we are here to be blessed, and then describe blessing as a big bag of cash, and a Mercedes-Benz in every driveway. The Bible reveals that true blessing comes through sufferings. Three areas of suffering are shown to be part of our purification process: 1) the sufferings of the flesh...

"Forasmuch then as Christ hath suffered for us in the flesh, arm yourself likewise with the same mind: for he that hath suffered in the flesh

hath ceased from sin: that he should no longer live the rest of his time in the flesh to the lusts of men, but to the will of God."
(I Peter 4:1,2 K.J.V.)

2) the sufferings of persecution...

"Blessed are ye when men shall hate you, and when they shall separate you from their company, and shall reproach you, and cast out your name as evil, for the Son of man's sake."
(Luke 6:22 K.J.V.)

3) the sufferings of shame...

"And they departed from the presence of the council, rejoicing that they were counted worthy to suffer shame for His name."
(Acts 6:41 K.J.V.)

Yes, believe it or not, suffering as YahWeH's child, for His name's sake, brings the greatest of blessing...

"And if children (of YahWeH), then heirs; heirs of God, and joint-heirs with Christ; IF SO BE THAT WE SUFFER WITH HIM, THAT WE MAY BE ALSO GLORIFIED TOGETHER. For I reckon that the sufferings of this present time are not worthy to be compared with the glory which shall be revealed in us."
(Romans 8:17,18 K.J.V.)

And the suffering, the refinement and polishing of the gold, comes through the smoothing tumbler known as "the tares". And that is why a most loving Father allows us to grow together until the time of harvest.

As I have tried to show, the bloodline of the wheat is of prime importance to our Father. The seventh of the Ten Commandments forbids any tampering with it. But we've been taught that it deals with something else altogether. What do you think when you read it?...

"Thou shalt not commit ADULTERY."
(Exodus 20:14 K.J.V.)

Well, that's obvious. It means we must not cheat on our spouse, right? WRONG! The tenth Commandment deals with that...

"Thou shalt not covet thy neighbour's wife..."
(Exodus 20:17 K.J.V.)

The word "covet" here is the Hebrew word "chamad" (Strong's #2530). It means

"to lust after". Cheating on your wife is already covered. So what is "adultery"? It is the Hebrew word "naaph" (Strong's #5003). Look at what it means... "to adulterate - to debase or make impure by the addition of a foreign or inferior substance". Wow! What's it talking about? What are we not to make impure?... OUR BLOODLINE! We are not to mingle our blood with any other race...

"Know ye not that... no adulterers... shall inherit the kingdom of God?"
(I Corinthians 6:9,10 K.J.V.)

If you are sceptical, consider this. The great patriarchs of the Bible, men who talked with God, would be guilty, by our standards, of breaking the seventh Commandment. King Solomon had 700 wives, and 300 breeding concubines. Moses had multiple wives. The father of the House of Israel, Jacob, had his twelve sons (the heads of the twelve tribes of Israel) through two wives, and two handmaidens to his wives. These men were our examples of faith and great blessings from their Father. Were they all adulterers? NO! But look at King Solomon; that's why I put him in this partial list of men with many wives. King Solomon began to crave "foreign" wives; women of other races, not Israelites. When that happened, the man blessed more than any other with wealth and power, lost it all. YahWeH took his Kingdom from him, because he had adulterated his bloodline. So don't think that because you've never had an affair, all is well. If you are married to one from a race other than your own, you are guilty of adultery. Should this be the case with you, the Bible does provide a way of correction, but it is a most difficult way. Certainly, if you are considering a mixed-race marriage, reconsider before it's too late. The Book of Ezra, chapters nine and ten, deals with this problem and its only acceptable solution. I won't quote two full chapters here (read them in your Bible). But I will highlight them so you can see what needs to be done...

"The people of Israel, and the priests, and the Levites have not separated themselves from the people of the lands (then eight nations of other races are listed)... For they have taken daughters (from these races) for themselves, and for their sons, SO THAT THE HOLY SEED ('bloodline') HAVE MINGLED THEMSELVES WITH THE PEOPLES OF THOSE LANDS."
(Ezra 9:1,2 K.J.V.)

The gravity of this problem is expounded for many verses. Then the only remedy for the problem is demanded...

"Now therefore let us make a covenant with our God TO PUT AWAY ALL THE WIVES, AND SUCH AS ARE BORN OF THEM, according to the counsel... of those that tremble at the commandment (the seventh commandment) of our God; and let it be done according to the law."
(Ezra 10:3 K.J.V.)

"Now therefore make confession unto the Lord God of your fathers, and do His pleasure: and separate yourselves from the people of the land, and from strange ('foreign blood') wives."
(Ezra 10:11 K.J.V.)

I can't imagine a more difficult solution to a problem. The fix truly reveals the severity of breaking the seventh Commandment. If you are a son of Adam, and have married one of another race, or of the "mixed-multitude" (the mixing of all the races), you will not, in this state, qualify for entry into the rest planned for you. But if you are serious about correcting this; if you are one "of those who tremble at the commandment of our God", then there is a remedy; but it's not easy. In our "enlightened" society, it has even more strings attached than it did in the theocratic society of the Old Testament. You would have to divorce your wife, and separate yourself from her. Even harder, you would have to have no contact with any children from that marriage. You would, however, be financially responsible for whatever the law prescribes as support. It is clear that obedience initially is the far, far better choice. Remedial obedience is sometimes unthinkably difficult.

This is a good place to address the "colour" thing. There are three races only (pure races) on planet earth: "Caucasians" (white skinned... "blushing" skin); "Negroids" (black skinned); and "Mongoloids" (yellow skinned). All others are described in the Bible as "the mixed multitude"... the mingling of the various pure races. Modern science knows that a male and female of any pure race CANNOT produce offspring of another race. It's a biological impossibility (ask your Doctor). Everything reproduces "after its own kind". I was taught in church that Noah's three sons were white, black, and yellow; and that's where the different colours come from today. Rubbish! The black and yellow races were the population created by the Elohim on day-six; the white race are the sons of Adam, made on day-eight by YahWeH. Is one better than another? No; so don't play the "racist" card. God declare ALL His creation to be "very good" (Genesis 1:31). And He also declared that the intermingling of any of the races was "very bad". Any declaration of racial difference has become tantamount to flag burning. Why?

Is it not odd that we are constantly being bombarded in the media to "be different", "be your own man", "be your own woman", "stand out from the crowd"; our cities boast their diversity of restaurants (Korean, French, Thai, Greek); we are within driving distance of mosques, temples, synagogues, and a hundred different churches; we don't even wear the same clothing two days in a row... differences, differences, differences! BUT! if you dare hint at any difference between your Irish neighbours to the left, and your Jamaican neighbours to the right, you are a hate-monger, a bigot, and an evil racist. Why would this be? I just told you... satan has no interest in whether you prefer Yorkshire pudding or jerk chicken, but he has a huge vested interest in having you blind to racial differences. It is the best way to spread race mixing, and therefore increase his chances of a technicality pass at the final harvest. The more Israelite blood in the pot,

mixed together, shaken up, mixed some more, the less chance of having the Cainite blood (the bloodline of the tares) spotted; or so the father of the Cainites hopes. You see, if a Cainite can sneak past the gate, then his father should be let in as well.

Where does the name "Israel" come from; and who - precisely - are Israelites today? This is really important to understand. The name "Israel" has nothing to do with a political state. It was a name, chosen by YaHWeH, to give to one man... Jacob. Jacob was the son of Isaac, the son of Abraham. Jacob was a man who meant business with God, and his tenacity in this regard was rewarded by his Father. YaHWeH said to Jacob...

"Thy name shall be called no more Jacob, but ISRAEL:
for as a prince hast thou power with God and with
men, and hast prevailed."
(Genesis 32:28 K.J.V.)

Jacob/Israel had twelve sons. Each became the head of one of the twelve tribes of Israel. You see, "Israel" was not just the name of the man Jacob, but the name of his family, then, and forever. A true Israelite today is a bloodline descendant of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. The Hebrew word is "Yisrael" (Strong's #3478), and means "he will rule as YHWH". The Hebrew dictionary asserts that it is both the name of Jacob, and his posterity. Because this paper is about Biblical genetics, we should certainly check-out Israel's (Jacob's) genetics. Consider this crucial Scripture...

"And YHWH VISITED SARAH as He had said. YHWH did
to Sarah as He had spoken. And Sarah conceived
and bore a son."
(Genesis 21:1 Hebrew Bible)

Sarah was the wife of Abraham. She had been barren all her life, never able to produce a son for Abraham. But look at what we read here. YaHWeH "visited" Sarah. What's that mean? This is the Hebrew word "paqad" (Strong's #6485). It means "to enjoin", and "to bestow". I believe that YaHWeH "visited" Sarah in the same way He visited Mary... He "enjoined" with her, and "bestowed" her with His seed. And "Sarah conceived and bore a son". I believe that Isaac was the direct offspring of YaHWeH Himself, conceived in the same way our Messiah was, and the same way Abel was.

But wait a minute! That runs crosswise of this Scripture...

"(YaHWeH speaking to Abraham) But HE THAT SHALL COME
FORTH OUT OF THINE OWN BOWELS SHALL BE THINE HEIR."
(Genesis 15:4 K.J.V.)

Knowing that he was very old, and that his wife's womb was barren, Abraham suggested to his Father that perhaps the son of his Chief Steward, Eliezer, might qualify as his own son. But YaHWeH would have none of that. So He speaks these words to Abraham, defining where his son would come from.

And that is "out of thine own bowels". Well, you can't get much clearer than that, can you? How dare I suggest it might be something different! By now you should see when I'm setting up the King James diehards. You really must get a Hebrew Bible, and an original text dictionary. The word that the King James translators penned in English as "bowels", is not what it sounds like. It conveys the same meaning as "loins", a term of procreation. But it's not that at all. It's the Hebrew word "meah" (Strong's #4578). Get this... it means "longing anguish". So the correct way to put the Hebrew into English is...

"But he that shall come to you because of your
longing anguish for a son shall be your rightful
heir."

(Genesis 15:4 opened-eyes version)

Pretty neat, eh? And do you know that over one-hundred times in the Bible, YahWeH refers to "Israel" as His literal "son" and "firstborn"? It's true. Here's a well known example...

"And thou (YahWeH speaking to Moses) shall say
unto Pharaoh, ISRAEL IS MY SON, EVEN MY FIRSTBORN:
and I say unto thee (Pharaoh), LET MY SON GO, that
he might serve Me: and if you refuse to let him go,
behold, I will slay thy son, even thy firstborn."
(Exodus 4:22,23 K.J.V.)

Isaac, the begotten of YahWeH through Sarah's womb, married Rebekah. He and Rebekah had twin sons born to them, Jacob and Esau... two extremely significant offspring. They were born in the same delivery from Rebekah's womb, but a very different reality controlled their lives. This is what the Apostle Paul wrote about them...

"For this is the word of promise (what YahWeH promised),
At this time will I come ('visit' Sarah), and Sarah will
have a son. And not only this; but when Rebekah also
had conceived by one, even our father Isaac; for the
children (Jacob and Esau) being not yet born, neither
having done any good or evil, (so) THE PURPOSE OF GOD
ACCORDING TO ELECTION MIGHT STAND (election of the 'chosen'),
not of works (not because of deeds), but of Him that
calleth (Him Who elects); it was said unto her (Rebekah,
by YahWeH), the elder (Esau) shall serve the younger (Jacob).
AS IT IS WRITTEN, JACOB HAVE I LOVED, BUT ESAU HAVE I
HATED."

(Romans 9:9-13 K.J.V.)

You won't hear this bombshell from a traditional pulpit. Churchianity fills its pews and plates by preaching that "GOD IS LOVE... EVERYBODY, EVERYWHERE, ALL THE TIME"... how could they dare read this out loud? And from the New Testament no less. And by good old Paul, to boot. And that bit about, dare

I say it?... "ELECTION"... are you kidding? You see folks, you can't have it both ways. If you are serious about truth, you can't have one foot in hard-core digging for the hidden treasure of truth, and the other foot in ear-soothing churchianity. The hard sayings of the Word of YahWeH will never see the light of day in an empire built on recruiting, and keeping those recruited, through making them feel good, and happy with who they are. The churchianity empire needs people in the pews and money in the plates; and that won't happen today if they reprove, rebuke, and exhort...

"For the time will come (it's here now) when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers (who will tickle their ears), having itching ears; and they shall turn away their ears from truth (because truth is hard), and shall be turned unto fables (soothing tales).
(II Timothy 4:3,4 K.J.V.)

Couldn't say it any better.

The genetics get interesting here. Something isn't right within Rebekah, and she knows it. She goes to YahWeH about it...

"And the children struggled together within her. and she said (to Isaac), If it be so, why am I thus (if I'm going to give birth successfully, why does it feel like I'm miscarrying?). And she went to inquire of the Lord, and the Lord said unto her, TWO NATIONS ARE IN THY WOMB, AND TWO MANNERS OF PEOPLE SHALL BE SEPARATED FROM THY BOWELS..."
(Genesis 25:22,23 K.J.V.)

There was an actual battle within Rebekah's womb; a physical struggle from a spiritual struggle. Isaac advised her to enquire of YahWeH about this brutal pregnancy. YahWeH said that the problem was not simply a conflict between the two fetuses she was carrying, but a conflict between the two nations, or families, which would come through them. This was a "Cain and Abel" battle which would change the world. I'm going to suggest something here, which might be over the top for most of you, but I see it as a credible possibility. What if Esau was not sired by Isaac? What if this is a repeat of Cain and Abel... at least as far as Esau's fertilization is concerned? Is it beyond reason to posit that the charmer can still enter the physical dimension, and sow tares among the wheat? As Paul confirmed, Rebekah did conceive by Isaac (see Romans 9:10), but perhaps just Jacob, and not Esau. Something to think about.

But if this is too far a stretch for you, I'll explain the other possibility as to the genetic opposition within Rebekah. Rebekah produced two separate ova which were fertilized by Isaac (if that's your choice). Isaac had his genes from his mother, Sarah (a pure Adamite) and his Father

(YahWeH Himself, I believe; but if not, then Abraham - another pure Adamite). But Rebekah had some Cainite in her background (not enough to tip the balance, or YahWeH would not have used her as Isaac's mate). So Jacob would have this gene-mix... Adamite genes from Isaac (or genes from the Almighty), mixed with the Adamite genes from Rebekah. Whereas Esau would have this gene-mix... Adamite genes from Isaac, and Cainite genes from Rebekah, producing a tainted embryo (this is why I believe the devil was his sire... the embryo was not just bad, it was poisoned). The level of Cainite genes in Esau was sufficiently high to receive no quarter whatsoever from YahWeH. He "hated" Esau, in the womb.

Jacob grew to be a man; a man who found great favour with YahWeH. As we saw earlier, YahWeH changed his name to Israel, as a sign of His favour. Israel produced twelve sons of his own, and each of them became the head of one of the twelve tribes of the House of Israel... each tribe named for that son of Jacob/Israel. The study of this family is most interesting. It was not a model family, comprising some very hard-headed, thankless, and unrepentant people. Because of their sin, and turning their back on YahWeH and His law, the Israelites were severely punished. YahWeH literally cast them to the wind. In two separate waves of invasion from their enemies, they were taken captive, away from their homeland. They lost everything: their land, their name, their language, their identity. Our Messiah called them "the lost sheep of the House of Israel". When their descendants were freed from their captivity, nearly all of them journeyed to the far lands (a major study of its own), never to return to the area of Palestine. A few, however, from the tribe of Judah mostly, did return to Jerusalem to live. Soon, the Cainite sons of Shelah (and their father the devil) began to intermarry with the Judahites in Jerusalem. Their gene-pool became so contaminated that YahWeH used the Roman Emperor Titus to destroy Jerusalem in 70 A.D. A few of these Cainite Judahites survived this destruction, and they began again to mix their blood with other Israelites. They'll never be gone completely until the final harvest. They are a fact of life for true Israel. Covenant and eternal rest are based on bloodline, and satan wants a piece of it.

Most, nearly all true Israelites today don't have a clue who they are. And the great deception-mill, churchianity, is totally blind to true Israel. This empire scoffs at any genetic link to God. It teaches that entrance into His rest is 100% the choice of anyone and everyone who decides they want it. If you: "ask jesus (the false name, the name invented by man) into your heart" you're IN; if you don't, you're OUT... period! As my eyes have been opened over many years now, I find it even difficult to type such rubbish. I can't believe I actually bought in to this deception. Churchianity flies in the face of the very Book they thump. They declare that God wants EVERYONE in His Kingdom... "choose jesus and you're part of the blood Covenant." Utter lie! Have they never read their own Bible?...

"I AM NOT SENT BUT (only) TO THE LOST SHEEP
OF THE HOUSE OF ISRAEL..."
(Matthew 15:24 K.J.V.)

"I PRAY NOT FOR THE WORLD, but for them (only)
which Thou hast given Me ('from before the
foundation of the world') for they (alone)
are Thine."
(John 17:9 K.J.V.)

"For the Son of man is come TO SEEK AND TO SAVE
THAT WHICH WAS LOST (i.e. 'the lost sheep of the
House of Israel')."
(Luke 19:10 K.J.V.)

When I first began to study the Bible on my own, I would come across so many Scriptures like these; Scriptures which seemed completely opposite to what my church was teaching. I wondered how on earth the Pastor ever preached his way around them. Then it hit me... I had never heard any of them read from the pulpit. He didn't have to preach his way around them, they were never mentioned. Can you imagine what awaits a man who would keep truth from sincere people, just because he didn't have an answer? And this is how the deception-mill operates... if you can't get around it, don't get into it.

The Son of man, the Messiah, came for one purpose, to seek and save those who were "lost". Now you need to see something here. The word "lost" is a very specific word. When it comes to the evangelical definition of "lost", it is seen as being without God. That would imply that Cainites are "lost". But this is wrong. How can I say that? If a man is in the depths of despair and poverty in the gutters of Calcutta, he is not lost, if that is his home. Likewise, you could be enjoying the high life somewhere, because you took a wrong turn, and don't know where you are, or how to get home. You are lost. The definition of "lost" here is "being away from where you should be, and not being able to get back". A Cainite's home is NOT with God. "Without God" is his home... that's not lost; it's being in a very bad place, where you should be. The "lost" which the Messiah came to find are "the lost sheep of His fold". That is their home, where they are destined to be. They have strayed far from the fold, and can't get back on their own. They did not start out lost. They are the offspring of Almighty YahWeH... that's as "found" as it gets. But they BECAME lost, through their sin. So He left His throne in Heaven to "seek" His flock, and save them from destruction. He's a "SHEPHERD", not a "goatherd". The Apostle Paul speaks about these two opposites...

"And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness
IN THEM THAT PERISH (and why do they perish?);
because they received not (from God) the love of
the truth, that they might be saved. And for this
cause God (not satan) shall send them strong delusion,
that they should believe a lie; that they all might
be damned who believe not the truth, but had pleasure
in unrighteousness.

BUT - we are bound to give thanks always to God for
you (the sheep), brethren beloved of the Lord,

BECAUSE GOD HATH FROM THE BEGINNING CHOSEN YOU
TO SALVATION THROUGH SANCTIFICATION OF THE SPIRIT
AND BELIEF OF THE TRUTH: whereunto He called you
by our gospel, to the obtaining of the glory of
(YaHWeH-shua, Messiah)."
(II Thessalonians 2:10-14 K.J.V.)

Two opposite groups with two opposite destinies. Truth can be really shocking if you've never been exposed to it. Paul speaks of those that "perish", because they did not receive the love of the truth from YaHWeH. Instead, they received strong delusion from Him, "that they all might be damned". The sheepfold is not their home, and never was, so they are NOT lost from it. Then he speaks of the opposite group... those who "GOD HATH FROM THE BEGINNING CHOSEN TO SALVATION". And how does He materialize His choice? "through sanctification of His Holy Spirit, and belief of the truth". These are "lost", because they are not back home yet. He has to first seek them out, then save them and bring them back into the fold from which they strayed, from which they've become "lost". They can't find their way back on their own. These are the lost sheep of the House of Israel. They forsook their Father, and His laws. They demanded a King to rule them instead, like all the heathen nations. They got their King, but their Father punished their disobedience by abandoning them (for a time) and scattering them like leaves in a strong wind, throughout the nations of the world. They lost their name, they lost their language, they lost their identity, and they lost their Father. But as unfaithful as they are, their Father is always faithful to His promise. In the last days He is gathering His sheep back to the fold. He promised that He would, at this time, reveal His sacred name ("YaHWeH") to them, reveal His truth to them, write His laws in their heart. And He will expose the stronghold of deception they have placed themselves in. His flock will have much to endure before the final harvest, but we can take heart that we will find our way home. Interestingly, He also said that He would use the unknown, unschooled, and the unimportant of this world to spread the truth to His lost sheep. These will appear foolish in the eyes of the learned, and be maligned and repudiated by the devil's false "apostles of Christ" in their lofty pulpits... the wolves in sheep's clothing who tickle the ears of those who need their Sunday soothing and reassurance to "sleep well, God loves you".

Am I saying that every preacher in a pulpit is evil? No, not at all. Many, perhaps even most, are well intentioned and sincere men. But if they are part of the army of deception, themselves blinded by deception, controlled at the top by those who are indeed evil, they will pay a terrible price for their blindness. This is how our Saviour describes their end...

"But He answered and said, Every plant, which My heavenly Father hath not planted, shall be rooted up. Let them alone; they be blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, BOTH SHALL FALL INTO THE DITCH."
(Matthew 15:13,14 K.J.V.)

Just because a Pastor has been blinded himself, does not earn him a pass on falling into the ditch himself. And notice... "let them alone". What does He mean by that?... "Don't waste your time trying to change them; they've made their choice, and will have to live with it." I attended a church years ago which had a poster on the lobby wall. It read, "He's the God of the second chance... and the third." Find me that one in the Bible!

Regardless of the well-meaning heart of the Pastor who teaches the lies; the big-wigs of the deception mill are pure evil. Don't take my word for it; listen to someone far more credible than I...

"For such are FALSE APOSTLES, DECEITFUL WORKERS, TRANSFORMING THEMSELVES (unseen by all) INTO THE APOSTLES OF CHRIST. And no marvel (don't be shocked when you hear this): for satan himself (their father) is transformed into an angel of light."

(II Corinthians 11:13,14 K.J.V.)

Look, if deception isn't deceiving, it's not deception. I had a traditional stalwart say to me, "If this was true, everyone would spot it." Well, then it wouldn't be deception. By definition, "deception" has to be "unseen". If your source of spiritual teaching and guidance is part of the lie-chain, you will not see it, unless and until your spirit-eyes and spirit-ears are opened by your Father. And He won't pry them open. You first must be willing to see and hear what you don't want to see and hear.

One of the biggest lies dispensed by the mill, has genetics at it's core. The lie is based on, and supported by this truth...

"And IF YE BE CHRIST'S, THEN ARE YE ABRAHAM'S SEED, and heirs according to the promise."

(II Corinthians 11:13,14 K.J.V.)

"See there dear brothers and sisters; that proves it. All you have to do is ask jesus into your heart and you're automatically an heir to everything God promised to Abraham, because you are his seed." That line has seen thousands run to the altars. Well, isn't that line right? Isn't that what this verse says? Churchianity, the "man's in the driver's seat cuz he makes the choice" religion, declares that to "be Christ's" means to decide to ask jesus into your heart (step one, the first half of this equation). Permit me a little story. Decades ago, I was part of the door-to-door evangelistic team of a huge Baptist church. One Monday evening we (we went in two's) were in the home of one of our neighbourhood targets. This guy was a hard-sell. He said that he had heard this line since he was a kid. He had read his Bible, and asked a bunch of people where it says to ask jesus into your heart; but he just couldn't find it. So in a rather demanding way he asked, "Show me where it says to ask jesus into my heart, and I'll do it." Now you need to understand that in order to be on this church's team, one had to pass a most rigorous training course. We were taught, tested, grilled, retested, placed

in mock tough-crowd situations, and tested again. We truly "knew it all". Well, after I looked at Mel (our "leader") and Mel looked at me, he said, "SHOW ME IN YOUR BIBLE!". It was probably only ten seconds before we both turned beet red, but it felt like half an hour before either of us moved a muscle. We had to tell the man that we weren't sure at the moment but we'd check it out with our senior Pastor (right!) and get back to him. To add insult to injury, the cruel silence was broken by the whistle of the tea-kettle on the stove. Oh goody; a twenty minute cup of tea to endure. When we returned to the Pastor's office we tried to act cool about this one. Not to look poorly trained, we just happened to casually ask which was the Pastor's favourite verse about the need to ask Jesus into your heart. This was the top dog in a really big church, and as hard-nosed as they come. He fumbled with his Bible, tapped his pen as he looked around the room, and eventually said something I'll not forget if I live to be a hundred and fifty... "Well men, it actually doesn't say it in the Bible." That moment changed my life. It's been over twenty-five years since then; and I've done a whole bunch of study. Do you know, that was probably the first accurate thing that pastor ever said. IT DOES NOT SAY THAT YOU SHOULD ASK JESUS, MARY, OR THE EASTER-BUNNY INTO YOUR HEART, ANYWHERE IN THE ENTIRE BOOK! It doesn't even say it in a round-about way... NOWHERE! Now you might feel like tearing this up right now, but before you do, get your favourite Bible, an exhaustive concordance, and spend a day finding it for yourself. IT'S NOT THERE. Can you imagine how many countless thousands around the world are under the impression that they're on a bullet-train to Heaven because they did what Billy Graham, Jerry Falwell, Kenneth Copeland, and your favourite guy said to do?... and it's not in the Book anywhere, not even once. Forgive the drama; but you've got to admit, shocks don't come much bigger.

Now for step two, the second half of the equation. The deception mill assures you that you are now Christ's, because you "went forward". So, in keeping with their spin on their "proof" Scripture, you are now Abraham's seed - "That's what it says dear friend!"... and an heir of what he's promised to boot... guaranteed! Sure sounds like a great deal to me. But before we get too excited about it, perhaps we should take a second look at it, in light of what we've studied.

Just because I wear a kilt, play the pipes, and have my first nickel, does not make me Scottish. To be Scottish I must be born of Scottish blood. Likewise, if I am Christ's, I am, only because I am born into the bloodline of YahWeH... He IS the Messiah. And this means I have come through Adam, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. The "promise" I am heir to is the "promised seed" line... Jacob/Israel. If I am of another bloodline, I am not Christ's no matter what part of me I ask anybody into. You see, this Scripture is absolutely true, if I be Christ's (born through the bloodline of YahWeH, through the promised channel), that fact automatically means I am an heir according to what YahWeH promised. This isn't rocket-science. Notice it does not say, "If I make myself Christ's"; it says, "If I BE (from the foundation of the world) Christ's". No one can make themselves "be Christ's"...

"YE HAVE NOT CHOSEN ME, BUT I HAVE CHOSEN YOU..."
(John 15:16 K.J.V.)

"GOD HATH FROM THE BEGINNING CHOSEN YOU
TO SALVATION..."
(II Thessalonians 2:13 K.J.V.)

But the deception mill has a back-up for everything. So when one cites the Scripture above, and the dozens like it, this is their answer, "God changed all that and made everyone become of His bloodline." And this is their proof text for this lie...

"And (God) hath made of one blood all nations
of men..."
(Acts 17:26 K.J.V.)

Boy! It looks like they've got us good on this one... He made "all nations of one blood". Before I chuck out the last twenty-six pages, maybe I should see if the translators did a good job here. Let's see what the original text says. Here's the Greek wording...

"For were made by One (God) all races of men..."
(Acts 17:26 Greek Bible)

How do you like that? The trans'lie'ators wrote that God made all nations with "one blood". The original, which they had before them on the table, says all races were made by One (God). Well, of course all races were made by One God. There is only One God. The "mighty ones" ("Elohim") created the sixth-day races by God (His power), and He made Adam on the eighth day by His power. Satan never created anybody from nothing with his power. But check-out the audacity of these Catholic translators... the word "blood" is nowhere in the original, they made it up. Why? Because their father the devil influenced these agents to bolster his fascilitation of bloodline mixing. "If we're all of one blood, who cares what race I mate with?"

The Apostle Paul puts a finer point on Abraham's seed. Adam to Abraham is the genetic pool from which the "called" are drawn; but not all the pool are called...

"For they are not all Israel, which are of Israel:
neither because they are the seed of Abraham, are
they all ('called') children: BUT (only) IN ISAAC
SHALL THY SEED BE CALLED. That is, they which are
children of flesh, these are not all children of God;
BUT (only) THE CHILDREN OF THE PROMISE ARE COUNTED
FOR SEED. (and what did YaHWeH promise?) For this
is the word of promise, At this time I will come
(unto Sarah), and Sarah shall have a son."
(Romans 9:6-9 K.J.V.)

Abraham had many children of flesh (Ishmael from Hagar; Zimram, Jokshan, Medan, Ishbak, and Shuah from Keturah). They were Abraham's flesh seed alright, but they weren't Abraham's "promised" seed. That was Isaac, and only Isaac. He was, I believe, fertilized within Sarah's barren womb by YaHWeH Himself. But the point is sharpened even more...

"And not only this, but when Rebekah also had conceived by one, by our father Isaac... it was said unto her (by YaHWeH)... As it is written, Jacob have I loved, but Esau have I hated."
(Romans 9:10-12 K.J.V.)

You see, Isaac was the seed promised to Abraham to carry the genetics of the chosen; and Jacob was the seed promised to Isaac to carry these genes... but Esau most certainly was not. The promised seed comes through a very narrow, and specific channel.

The House of Israel, the family of the promised seed, the bloodline of YaHWeH, has been the target of the seed of satan, through Cain, from the start. But one particular tribe has been of marked advantage to the Cainites. As I showed earlier, it was primarily the tribe of Judah which returned to Jerusalem after the time of captivity and exile; and this tribe which mingled its blood with the Cainite sons of Shelah. YaHWeH destroyed Jerusalem (using Rome to do it) in 70 A.D. But a remnant of the contaminated seed survived. The name "Jew" was a moniker coined by the Romans occupying Jerusalem. It comes from "Judah" (hence, "Jew"). And this name "Jew" figures hugely in our world today.

First, I want to show how YaHWeH was able to keep a remnant of this tribe, with pure Israelite blood, in spite of the widespread intermarrying. Judah himself was an adulterer. He took a pure Cainite wife. She bore him three sons: Er, Onan, and Shelah. Er and Onan were so wicked, that YaHWeH destroyed them at an early age. It was the children of his Cainite son Shelah who targeted the Judahites who returned from exile to Jerusalem, contaminating their bloodline... like father, like son. The widow of Judah's late son Er was named Tamar. She was of pure Israelite blood. After Judah's Cainite wife Shuah had died, he travelled one day to the town of Timnah. He was a dishonourable man, and a lustful man. YaHWeH is never stumped. He informed Tamar that Judah was going to Timnah at this particular time, and would for sure go to the district where the prostitutes worked. Tamar went to Timnah, and disguised herself as a prostitute. YaHWeH made sure Judah saw her. He propositioned Tamar (not knowing who she was... she would have been veiled). He promised her a young goat from his herd as payment; but she demanded a down-payment: Judah's ring, his bracelet, and his walking-stick. He gave them to her, and had his pleasure with her. Well, she became pregnant by Judah. Three months later, back at home, Tamar's pregnancy became obvious. This was a family disgrace punishable by death. When Judah was informed of it, he had her brought to him. He ordered her to be stoned to death. But she boldly presented the three items, and told how Judah was the father of her pregnancy. Judah admitted what he had done, and spared her life. In

time, she delivered twin boys: Pharez and Zarah. Although he was such a bad man in his flesh, Judah did possess pure Israelite blood; and so did Tamar. That means that Pharez and Zarah were of the bloodline of YahWeH, and their undefiled descendants today are true Israelites, of the tribe of Judah. One might call them "Jews". But there are pretenders out there. YahWeH revealed this through His servant John...

"I (YahWeH) know the blasphemy of THEM WHICH SAY THEY ARE JEWS, AND ARE NOT, BUT ARE THE SYNAGOGUE OF SATAN."

(Revelation 2:9 K.J.V.)

"Behold, I (YahWeH) will make them of the synagogue of satan, WHICH SAY THEY ARE JEWS, AND ARE NOT, BUT DO LIE: Behold, I will make them to come and worship before thy feet (the feet of the true Israelites), and to know that I have loved thee."
(Revelation 3:9 K.J.V.)

It is clear from these Scriptures that there is a group of people who claim to be Jews (really "Israelites"), but are liars. They have the blood of Cain coursing through their veins. And they've been here for a long time. They were "the scribes", "the Pharisees", "the Chief Priests", "the Elders of the Jews" who were always out to get our Saviour; and whom He always nailed for what they really were... the children "of their father the devil". They were the "tares" back then; and they are the tares today, here until the time of harvest, when the Almighty will instruct His reapers "to bind them into bundles to be burned". Til then, we must live with them... hopefully aware of them, and most cautious.

So who are these people? The Bible gives us clues as to their identity. It states, for example, that these of the synagogue of satan will be consumers, but won't produce anything... not farmers. As time goes on, they will be drawn to the large centres of commerce, where they will use their prime talent as "money-lenders" (bankers). They will also be skilled silver and gold-smiths. They will be highly intelligent, and as crafty as foxes. They will also be very wealthy, with no poor among them. Does this sound like any group today who say they are Jews?

What does it mean to "say you are Jews", when you are something else? I mean, where on earth are you going to find a bunch of people who just decide one day, "Hey guys, let's be Jews. Then we will be rich and smart."? It sounds pretty far-fetched, doesn't it? Well, yes it certainly does; until you learn some background information. There are some excellent writers who have done masterful study of this subject. Their findings are available to any with Internet access. I will simply place a mere thread of it in a tiny nutshell. Centuries ago, a group called the Khazars lived in an area now part of Russia. They were descendants of the "Huns", a Turkik tribe known for savagery and aggression. The Khazars controlled a pagan empire spanning the Ural and Caucasus mountains; and they made their wealth from levying heavy taxes on

all goods which were carried on the only trade routes through this vast region. The only way anything could get through the mountains was through Khazaria. These were pagans who practiced human sacrifice rituals; and their bloodlines were a mixture of all races (from their Hun ancestors). These Khazars fought both the Muslim invaders, and Christian crusaders; and prevailed against both of these hated enemies. In the eighth century A.D. "Bulan", the King of Khazaria declared that Judaism would be the religion of the Khazars. He said he was told to do this in dreams and visions, and no one was about to resist this fierce ruler. The decision was a politically advantageous move, as he was afraid that Khazaria would eventually be absorbed by Islam on one side, or Christianity on the other. So this group of fierce, cunning, and pagan people really did decide one day (through royal decree) to be Jews. And it is a known (but not widely broadcast) fact that nearly 95% of all people called "Jewish" today are Khazar descendants; and that their ancestral homeland was never the area of the Dead Sea, but the Khazar Sea (now called the Caspian Sea). I will quote a well known British author, who has studied this subject most thoroughly. His name is David Icke. He writes...

"As some brave Jewish writers like Arthur Koestler have confirmed, the overwhelming majority of 'Jewish' people have no historical connection at all to the land of Israel. They are not genetically Jewish and they certainly are not 'Semites'. They don't originate from Biblical Israel, but from a people called the Khazars in what became southern Russia down to the Caucasus Mountains. This is why the so-called 'Jewish nose' is not a genetic trait of Israel."

In short... those who say they are Jews, but are not.

I explained how the deception mill is seldom short of a back-up Scripture if they are exposed on another Scripture. This is never more true than in the bloodline subject. Satan does not want this most serious truth to reach eyes and ears. In response to the genetic qualification for being an Israelite, a former Pastor presented this to debunk the claim...

"Moreover, CONCERNING A STRANGER, THAT IS NOT OF MY PEOPLE ISRAEL, but cometh out of a far country for Thy name's sake... when he shall come and pray toward this house; hear Thou in heaven..."
(I Kings 8:41,42 K.J.V.)

This was prayed by King Solomon at the dedication ceremony of the Temple he built in Jerusalem. It sounds like he's asking YahWeH to hear (and answer) the prayers of non-Israelites ("a stranger, not of my people Israel"). At face value it would certainly appear to negate the exclusivity of genetic Israel. But guess what? It doesn't! This is a misunderstanding of context. What do I mean by that? Remember what we've learned?... "They are not all Israel, which are of Israel" (Romans 9:6). Well, during the Old Testament time, provision was made for those seed of Abraham who were not of the "promised" line, but were of the "flesh" line, to enter the outer-court portion

of the Temple and pray. But there were conditions on this. They had to be sons of Abraham, they had to come in humility, they had to keep the Covenant laws, and they had to pray in the sacred name YahWeH. So these so-called "strangers" were not strangers to the line of Abraham, they were strangers to the line of promise. But it was NEVER allowed, at any time, by Covenant law, for a person of any other race to pass through the Temple gate. Churchianity relies completely on the "face value" of the King James Bible. Those who have been given eyes to see and ears to hear, can never default to this.

Here are a couple more examples of what I'm saying. What does this Scripture say "at face value"?...

"Therefore I (the Messiah) say unto you, the kingdom of God shall be taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof."
(Matthew 21:43 K.J.V.)

How about something like this... "I say to you Jewish leaders (must be 'Israelites' I guess), that I will take you out from My Kingdom. and give this privilege to any other nation (or people) who show forth the fruits that a good Christian should."? That's what I was taught that it meant. Well, doesn't it? Absolutely not! One of the most ignored words in the Bible is "YOU". I hear so often, "The Bible says God will give YOU such-and-such, so it's mine!" Poppycock! WHO IS YOU? In this Scripture, "you" are the chief priests and elders of the synagogue (check it out for yourself). The Messiah had just told them "ye are of your father the devil". These men were of "the synagogue of satan". And here He is telling them that the kingdom of God, which they are trying so tenaciously to control with their harsh, invented "laws", will be ripped from their clutches, and given back to the nation which produces the "fruit" of the House of Israel. And what is that "fruit"? Is it the personality traits of niceness? No! Remember, "a good tree produces good fruit; and a corrupt tree produces evil fruit". The fruit of the nation of Israel, is the "seed", or "offspring" of the bloodline of Israel. So this is what that Scripture says at heart value...

"Therefore I say to you Cainite seed of the devil, The kingdom belonging to the seed of YahWeH through Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, will be ripped away from your control, and returned to the only nation which can produce the seed of Israel."
(Matthew 21:43 opened-eyes version)

And finally, this example. Trust me, there are dozens more; but what does this one appear to say?...

"For Thou wast slain, and hast redeemed US to God by Thy blood out of every kindred, and tongue, and people, and nation."
(Revelation 5:9 K.J.V.)

Again, here's what I was taught this Scripture is saying... "For You were crucified, and by this act You have redeemed us to God by Your shed blood. And You have redeemed any and all who ask You into our heart, from all the races of all the nations of the world." Is that close to your teaching on it? If you were part of traditional churchianity it is. I talked about "who is YOU?"... Well, in this Scripture, "who is US?" ("hast redeemed US"). Back up one verse and you'll see. They are the twenty-four elders of the twelve tribes of the House of Israel. They are singing a new song, praising YaHWeH for redeeming them, as the representatives of each tribe of the true Israelites. And what has YaHWeH redeemed them from? From the punishment He put on Israel, scattering them into the far-flung nations of the world, making them truly "lost". He redeemed the sheep of His fold, the lost sheep of the House of Israel, bringing them back home to the sheep-fold. And just where did He redeem them from? "OUT OF EVERY KINDRED, AND TONGUE, AND PEOPLE, AND NATION." Why did He redeem them from all these nations and places? BECAUSE THAT'S WHERE HE SCATTERED THEM TO... THAT'S WHERE THEY WERE AS LOST SHEEP! He had to go to where the lost sheep were, and bring them "out of" that place, to take them home. He did NOT redeem all kindreds, tongues, peoples, and nations... He only redeemed His bloodline family out from these. Never forget...

"ONLY IN THY FATHERS has YHWH delighted to love them,
AND HE CHOSE THEIR SEED AFTER THEM, ON YOU OUT OF
ALL PEOPLES, as today."
(Deuteronomy 10:15 Hebrew Bible)

So folks, don't ever think that because a man stands behind a pulpit once a week, that "he must know what he's talking about". The Bible says that YaH-WeH will reveal His truths to the lowly, not the lofty.

Just a word about truth to those who might have had some big shocks reading this paper. When a truth is finally revealed to us, it will often be very shocking... shocking because it's so far away from what we have had put into our minds from childhood. But what we need to realize is that the shock should never be seen as an evolution of the truth; that somehow the truth has morphed over time into something different. Truth is motionless, it does not change. It was truth when the world was formed, and it's truth today. It hasn't budged an inch. But what has happened is that satan has tweaked the truth, in such tiny steps, and for so long, that by the time we "see" it, it is miles away. WE have done the moving away; the truth is in the same spot it always was. What we need to be shocked (and angered) by, is only our blindness. And another comment about truth... it may not be accepted at first sight. Because you don't immediately buy what has been posited, does not necessarily mean you have "rejected" truth. I try hard to tell people that what I believe, and what I write, is never presented to convince you of it. Only the great Revelator can do that. These words are presented to simply inspire some reader to take the matter and "prove it" for themselves. If what I write creates a hunger; and if that hunger inspires study, then I am well rewarded. Don't believe what anyone says; believe what you can prove in God's Word. Rejection is another animal altogether... a very

dangerous animal. To "reject" truth means that your reaction is not to the nuts and bolts of what is presented; not because the Scriptural references are weak, or the context is off, or something has been misquoted. No; your reaction of rejection is to the fact that someone has dared to challenge what your Pastor, your Grandmother, your church has taught you. Tradition is a brutal slavemaster. It cannot allow even a glimmer of light to come through an open mind. I heard a church elder declare once, "It was good enough for my dear, sainted Grandmother; so it's good enough for me!" Well folks, if it can't withstand Biblical proving, it's not good enough for the family dog.

Before I close, I need to address a question which I'm sure has hit you. If everyone has an unchangeable genetic set, what's the point in learning all this? After all, if, as Popeye used to say, "I am what I am and that's all what I am", wouldn't it be better to just ride out this run in the bliss of ignorance? As I see it, the need for, and value of awareness can be seen by observing how much attention our common enemy pays to this matter. Satan is not stupid. In fact, he is smarter than you can possibly imagine. He never wastes effort. And the effort he has put in to mixing bloodlines, and keeping the world blind to the dangers thereof, indicates to me that genetic coding CAN be changed.

As I stated in the introduction to this paper, genetics are what the Bible is all about. Don't accuse me of being elitist. This is not about who is better than who. This is about a fact of life. EVERY pure race is one of the Creator's "VERY GOOD" creation. And everyone, and everything He created has a specific purpose. And each creation is equipped with what is needed to fulfil that purpose... no more, no less. The race which comes through the bloodline of the eighth-day man, Adam, has a specific set of genes; or perhaps I should say that the genes of that race have been chosen by YaHWeH for the purpose of channelling the promised seed line. Let me assure you that the ONLY bloodline that will ever see the lake of fire is the bloodline of Cain, the seed of the serpent. No one else needs to worry about the "hell" misunderstanding (probably the biggest misunderstanding out there).

Satan has a HUGE interest in the bloodline of the promised seed line. He sees it as his only potential pass-card for the lake of fire. And the only chance for that potential, is to use all the other bloodlines, which have a different purpose and end, to mix with the bloodline of the promised seed. And the more diluted and adulterated that blood stew gets, the better his chances of disguising Cainite blood. So what has he done? He has placed his agents at the table of translators for the spreading of the Bible. He has had them tweak the meaning of bloodline purity to where it reads like everyone on the planet is of the same bloodline, thanks to Jesus, who apparently "changed" the unchangeable YaHWeH's rules. He placed his "ministers of Christ", the transformers, into the high seats of religion to teach the people that it's okay to intermarry, because adultery is something else. He has tuned society to see racial melting-pots as cities of enlightenment; and inter-racial marriage as a sign of an informed and illuminated mind. Satan has succeeded in making racial difference acknowledgement a crime.